

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10066-2021

Date of Decision : 18.01.2022

Ranjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU J.

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to step up the pay of the petitioner at par with proforma respondent No.3/his junior and further to grant all arrears of pay and consequential benefits alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 18.02.2021 (Annexure P-8) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Department of Education, Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 18.02.2021 (Annexure P-8), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 18th, 2022

mamta

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No