

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 201 (1)

CRM-M No.18760 of 2022

Date of decision : 27.10.2022

Manjot Singh @ Shaggy

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0102 dated 18.07.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

On 05.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that after the withdrawal of the first petition filed by the petitioner seeking therein anticipatory bail, the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter and in pursuance to such compromise the petitioner has also filed a petition before this Court seeking quashing of the impugned FIR.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 acknowledges the aforesaid fact.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab and Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate accept notice on behalf of respondent-State and respondents No.2 and 3, respectively.

Adjourned to 11.05.2022.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.0102, dated 18.07.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC (offence under Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-3761-2022.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State as also the fact that the matter between the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 has been compromised, the interim order of this Court dated 05.05.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

27.10.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No