

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-19142-2022
Date of Decision:06.05.2022

Kampal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 18.03.2022 (Annexure P-4) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, to decide the representation (P-4) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***ten working days*** from today. Respondent No.2 will also consider that secondly, why the enquiry has been ear-marked to the officer below the rank of the official who had earlier decided the same. It is also made clear that this time also, the enquiry should be decided by a higher rank officer.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No