

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9359 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 12, 2022

Livtar Singh Bajaj

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.S. Ramachandra Rao
 Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S. Madaan**

Present:- Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate
 with Mr. Kunal Mulwai and Ms. Shifali Goyal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Shubhra Singh, Advocate
and Mr. Manav Bajaj, Advocate for respondent No. 5-SBI.

M.S. Ramachandra Rao, J. (Oral)

CM-7252-CWP-2022

This is an application for placing on record short affidavit on
behalf of respondent No. 4.

Heard.

The application is allowed, and the affidavit is taken on record.

CWP-9359-2022

In the short reply filed by the 5th respondent, it is stated that petitioner is not named as an accused in any F.I.R, and that the CBI had not sought for issuance of any LOC against the petitioner.

In the reply filed by the respondents No. 3 and 4-State Bank of India, it is stated that the competent authority of the State Bank of India had sent a request for withdrawal of the LOCs against the petitioner, and three of his family members, and that the Bank has no objection if the LOC's against them are withdrawn, and the petitioner is permitted to travel abroad.

Having regard to these submissions, since the LOC against the petitioner is said to have been deleted, this Writ Petition has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. Ramachandra Rao)
Judge

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

May 12, 2022

P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No