

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CRWP-4759-2021.

Date of Decision:-22.02.2022.

Navjot Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate for
respondent Nos.6 to 9.

SANT PARKASH, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate, has pointed out that his presence on the last date of hearing has been inadvertently marked on behalf of respondent No.2, whereas, he is representing respondent No.5. The order be corrected accordingly.

Similarly, Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of respondent Nos.6 to 9 but his presence has been inadvertently marked on behalf of respondent Nos.3 to 5. The said mistake is ordered to be corrected accordingly, as the same is an inadvertent mistake.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Gurinderbir Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Headquarters), Amritsar City, on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed. Same is taken on record. Copy

CRWP-4759-2021

thereof supplied to the counsel opposite.

It is fairly conceded by the learned State counsel as well as counsel for the respondents that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Along with reply, there is statement by way of an affidavit of Ms. Navjot Kaur, with regard to the aforesaid compromise.

In view of the above and the fact that none is present on behalf of the petitioner, the present petition stands disposed of.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

February 22, 2022.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No