

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9644 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision.25.05.2022

Pardeep Sharma ...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nishant Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Mehta, Senior Standing Counsel, U.T., Chandigarh.

-:-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

In pursuance of order dated 09.05.2022, height of the petitioner has been re-measured by the Board of Doctors of Government Medical College and Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh. A report of the Board of Doctors dated 20.05.2022 has been received in the sealed cover, according to which, height of the petitioner is 183.5 cm and therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for 10 marks as per clause 2.3 of the advertisement No.2/2021, according to which 10 marks are to be provided for height from 184 cm and above. The report dated 20.05.2022 is taken on record and marked as Annexure A.

Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed as the petitioner does not fulfill the requisite criteria of height to make him entitled for 10 marks. The amount of Rs.1 lakh deposited by the petitioner before the Registrar of this Court is forfeited and ordered to be deposited with Poor Patients Welfare Fund of GMCH, Sector 32, Chandigarh in terms of order dated 09.05.2022.

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

May 25, 2022

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No