

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(296)

**CRM-M-19064-2022
Date of decision:- 21.09.2022**

Azad Kumar	Versus	...Petitioner
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana for State-respondent.

Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant-respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.40 dated 03.02.2022, registered for offences under Sections 376(2)(n), 313, 384, 450 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 3(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 66(E) of Information Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the affidavit and compromise/settlement, Annexure P-7 and P-8, respectively, arrived at between the parties.

Upon instructions received from L/SI, Shakuntala Devi, State counsel submits that twenty out of twenty eight prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Faced with this development, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to take all the available pleas before the Trial Court at an appropriate stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

21.09.2022	(SUVIR SEHGAL) JUDGE
<i>Kamal</i>	

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No