

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

127

CWP-10860-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: May 20, 2022

RADHA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

**DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI
VITRAN NIGAM LTD AND OTHERS**

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been working since 1991 and would be entitled to the consideration for regularization in terms of the policy. He has sent a legal notice dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-10). He further contends that he would be satisfied if this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexures P-10) in accordance with law.

Issue notice to respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Nikita Goyal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

**May 20, 2022
A.Kaundal**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No