

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20078 of 2022 (O&M)
DECIDED ON:10th November, 2022**

Pinkesh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This is second petition for regular bail in case of FIR No. 20 dated 9.1.2020, under Sections 346 IPC (Sections 302, 365, 201, 34 IPC, 1860 added lateron), registered at Police Station Mahendergarh, District City Mahendergarh.

The earlier petition was dismissed by a reasoned order dated 28.1.2022.

One of the main ground for filing this second petition is that the trial is not moving at a decent pace.

Learned State counsel appearing on advance notice on instructions from ASI Ashwani submits that out of nineteen prosecution witnesses, thirteen witnesses have been examined. He further submits that an earnest effort would be made to conclude the prosecution evidence at the earliest.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in case a request for accommodating the date for an early examination of prosecution evidence is made before the Court concerned, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

10th November, 2022

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No