

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

144

**CR-1803 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.12.2022**

Bhima (deceased) through LRs

..Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State through Collector, Gurdaspur and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. R.D.Bawa, Advocate, and
Mr. Samuel Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Chopra, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate, for respondent no.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. Late Sh. Bhima through his legal representatives has filed this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to issue directions to the respondents and the Reference Court to release the amount of compensation for the involuntary acquisition of their land. It is claimed that the petitioner's land measuring 18 kanals and 18 marlas located in village Dukhniali, Tehsil Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot was acquired by the Central Government for the construction of road Dunera-Dukhniali-Durban-Ravi Bridge to National Highway. The petitioner's case was independently decided by the Reference Court. However, when the execution petition was filed to receive the amount, the petitioner's case was wrongly clubbed with the case of villages Darban Teeka Chouth and Darban Teeka Khaas and was disposed of.

2. The petitioner's grievance is that his case was wrongly clubbed with the cases of villages Darban Teeka Chouth and Darban Teeka Khaas because those cases pertain to a different acquisition.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to dispose of the revision petition while granting liberty to the petitioner(s) to file an application before the Executing Court in this regard, which shall be decided by the Executing Court within a period of one month, positively, of its filing.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 08, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>