

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19622 of 2021

Date of decision: 19.09.2022

Roshan Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sumit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, for the respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to appoint him on compassionate ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner had expired while he was working as a Driver with respondent No. 2. The petitioner was only 17 years of age at that time. He is, therefore, entitled to appointment on compassionate ground.

Heard.

It is apparent that the father of the petitioner had expired on 08.04.2006. The mother of the petitioner had been granted *ex gratia* assistance in terms of the policy applicable at that time. The petitioner has raised this claim after over a decade. Even if it is assumed that the petitioner was 17 years of age at the time of death of his father, he could have sought appointment on compassionate ground on attaining majority, but he had chosen to wait for over 13 years. Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

19.09.2022

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No