

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

**CR-1751 of 2021 (O&M)
and other connected cases.
Date of decision: 14.12.2022**

Paramjit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

**Principal Chief Conservator of Forest Department, Punjab
and others**

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

In order to implement the order passed by the competent court of jurisdiction under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the petitioner has filed the execution petition. The aforesaid execution petition have been dismissed as pre-mature on the ground that the department is yet to calculate the amount of salary payable.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that once the Court has passed an order for which the execution is maintainable, the Executing Court cannot refuse to adjudicate thereupon.

The learned counsel representing the State of Punjab, does not dispute the said fact.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the impugned order is set aside. The Executing Court is requested to decide the matter afresh.

The revision petition is allowed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 14, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

nt

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>