

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-16080 of 2019

Date of Decision: August 18, 2022

S. S. KULAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R. S. Khaira, Assistant AG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he had submitted a representation by hand to respondent No.2. However, learned State counsel has submitted in the reply that they had not received the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the representation is appended with the petition as Annexure P-4.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner be granted liberty to file a fresh representation and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 that as and when the representation is submitted, they will look into it and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner, they will look into it as expeditiously as possible.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in

view the above statement, the present petition may be disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

August 18, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No