

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-18551-2022
Decided on :14.07.2022

Ramandeep Singh @ Romy

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 217 dated 31.08.2021 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, District Amritsar.

On 05.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the compromise has been effected between the parties and as per the said compromise, it has been stated by the complainant i.e. Rahul as well as the injured i.e. Dharamvir Singh and Shamsher Singh that they have no objection in case the present FIR is quashed. It is contended that on the basis of the said compromise dated 18.01.2022 (Annexure P-2), a petition i.e. CRM-M-4948-2022 has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise, in which the

Coordinate Bench of this Court has been pleased to issue notice of motion and the said case is now pending for hearing on 17.05.2022. It is further contended that in the present case, at the time of registration of the FIR itself, Section 307 of IPC had been added without there being any medical opinion to the effect that any of the injuries caused were dangerous to life. It is also contended that the petitioner is not involved in any other case

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 17.05.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-4948-2022.”

Subsequently, on 17.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned State counsel has submitted that although, the petitioner has joined the investigation but is not cooperating with the same.

Adjourned to 14.07.2022.

The petitioner is again directed to join the investigation on 24.05.2022 at 11:00 AM.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid orders and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 05.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 14th,2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No