

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9455-2022

Date of Decision: May 06, 2022

PERVIN KUMAR

..... PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AA.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this writ petition is for quashing the order dated 24.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) vide which case of petitioner for promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, who was selected and appointed as JBT Teacher on 05.02.1999, has been wrongly ignored for promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no action whatsoever is being taken to promote the petitioner despite he being eligible for the same. Respondents no.4 to 6 allegedly junior to the petitioner are stated to have been promoted but petitioner ignored in an illegal and arbitrary manner. Learned counsel further submits that in this respect petitioner represented before the Department and also submitted representation dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure

P-6), but to no avail. Learned counsel relies upon decision dated 23.04.2019 in CWP-10054-2016, titled ***Mahesh Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others*** and decision dated 03.03.2020 in CWP-6950-2016, titled ***Rajinder Singh Vs. The State of Haryana and others*** to buttress the petitioner's claim. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer for a decision on the representation dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-6), in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3 at this stage.

Mr. Harish Nain, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no1 to 3. Advance copy of the writ petition stands served. Learned counsel for the State does not raise any serious objection to the restricted prayer addressed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, but without any expression of opinion this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide representation dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-6), after affording due notice and opportunity to respondents no.4 to 6 within six months from receipt of certified copy of this order. In case of an order adverse to the petitioner same be by way of a speaking order.

06.05.2022

Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No