

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

239

CRM-M-3219-2016 (O & M)

Date of decision: 28.03.2022

SURINDER KUMAR AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned State Counsel would submit that the petitioners have already been acquitted of the charges framed by the learned trial Court vide order 16.08.2019 rendering the present petition as infructuous which was for quashing of the FIR.

Today, none is present on behalf of the petitioners to contradict the submission made by learned State Counsel.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

28.03.2022

kavneet singh

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No