

203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20005-2021

Date of Decision: 10.11.2022

AMANJEET SINGH BISLA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No. 47 dated 31.03.2021 registered at Police Station Women Cell, Sonipat,
District Sonipat.

On 19.05.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Ld. Counsel for the petitioner draws attention of the Court to the WhatsApp messages exchanged, between the complainant and his client, on 20.11.2020, i.e., a day before she was allegedly thrown out of her matrimonial house according to the FIR, in which she apparently insisted on leaving the very next morning in order to attend some marriage related function of her relative, and had also mentioned that she did not have time to even go to the Parlour nor had proper clothes and was, therefore, baffled as to what she should put on at the time of the Engagement Ceremony.

2. Submits further that the petitioner is willing to return all the dowry articles/*Istri Dhan* claimed by the complainant, subject to supplying a proper list along with the relevant documents for verification.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, to accept notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

5. At this stage, Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and seeks time to file reply.

6. Let requisite number of copies of the Paper-book be supplied to both Counsel.

7. Adjourned to 07.07.2021.

8. Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

I. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

II. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer and;

III. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is serving as Squadron Leader in Indian Air Force and has joined the investigation in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court. The parents of the petitioner have been granted anticipatory bail by the Court of Session and furthermore, sister of the petitioner has been declared as innocent. In terms of the order dated 27.09.2022, the petitioner was permitted to go abroad and without flouting the conditions, he returned back and submitted the intimation of his return to the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Seema, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, order dated 19.05.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

10.11.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No