

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

**CRM-M-18497-2022
Date of Decision:02.05.2022**

Shinder Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.
No notices are required to issue to private respondent(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would confine his prayer and would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, to decide the representation by passing a speaking order within ***ten working days*** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

02.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No