

127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9520 of 2022
Date of Decision : 06.05.2022

Om Parkash Dabas and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashwarya Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present petition, the petitioners' claim is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in **CWP No.15887 of 2011** titled as '**Jagdish Chander Narang and others vs. State of Haryana and others**', decided on 11.03.2019 and the petitioners are entitled for the grant of pay scale of 13500-17250 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 as all the petitioners were within the 20% quota for the grant of selection grade.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the benefit of the judgment in *Jagdish Chander Narang's case (supra)* has not been extended to them only on the ground that the petitioners were not party to the said petition whereas, as per the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No. 4382 of 2002** titled as *Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana*, decided on 21.03.2002, once the question of law is settled, the same should be applied upon all the similarly situated rather than forcing everyone rush to the Court to seek the same relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in their representations dated 25.12.2021 and 26.03.2022 (Annexures P-7 and P-8), respectively, which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representations dated 25.12.2021 and 26.03.2022 (Annexures P-7 and P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or opining anything on the claim being made by the petitioners in the present petition and raised in the representations dated 25.12.2021 and 26.03.2022 (Annexures P-7 and P-8), the respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representations dated 25.12.2021 and 26.03.2022 (Annexures P-7 and P-8) by passing a speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of

May 06, 2022
rittu

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No