

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-32039-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.12.2022

Rajinder Singh @ Raja

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.S.S.Swaich, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Reply dated 26.02.2019 by way of affidavit of Vatsala Gupta, IPS, ASP, Circle Khamanon, District Fatehgarh Sahib, is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that vide order dated 31.07.2018, the personal appearance of the petitioner had been exempted before the trial Court and the petitioner would be satisfied if his personal appearance is exempted before the trial Court. He further submits that he would appear before trial Court as and when it is required by the trial Court.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-stated prayer of the petitioner.

In view of statements of both sides, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that the personal appearance of the petitioner shall remain exempted before the trial Court and he would be called as and when necessary.

23.12.2022
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>