

216 (1st case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8502-2020
Date of decision: 21.10.2022

RAKESH KUMAR AND OTHERS ..Petitioners
VS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr.Sunil Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate,
For the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that per his instructions claim of the petitioners is rendered infructuous as during pendency of the writ petition their names have been recommended for appointment and appointment letters are in process of being issued. Affidavit to the same effect has been tendered in course of hearing, which is taken on record.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

October 21, 2022
Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No