

111+232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1085-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision :15.07.2022

Susham Kumar Arora and others ...Petitioners

versus

Debasish Panda and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-7766-CII-2022

1. Allowed as prayed for.

CM-7767-CII-2022

2. Notice of the application.

3. Mr. Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants/respondent Nos.2 to 4 and states that he has no objection to the prayer made therein.

4. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents, the application is allowed.

COCP-1085-2021

5. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the assurance held out vide communication dated 07.06.2022, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to pursue the claim for interest on account of delayed payment by way of

appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

6. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.
7. Rule discharged.

15.07.2022
rajesh

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No