

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 9601-CWP of 2022 in/and
CWP No. 9906 of 2021**

Date of decision: 11.07.2022

Dalbir

..... Petitioner.

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate, for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate, for
Mr. Samarth Sagar, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CM No. 9601-CWP of 2022

This application is for disposing of the petition in terms of the judgment dated 28.04.2022 passed in CWP No. 2667 of 2022 titled as 'Omroj versus Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others'.

Heard. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

CWP No. 9906 of 2021

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who had applied for the post of Junior System Engineer against 'general' category, had secured 94 marks, but he was not considered under the EWS category, as some discrepancies were stated to be there in the

documents which he had submitted for consideration in this category. He, however, submits that the last selected candidate in 'general' category had secured 93 marks while the petitioner had secured 94 marks and, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be considered for appointment in the 'general' category. He had sent a representation (Annexure P4) to the respondents on 23.04.2021 for considering him in this category. He has relied upon the judgments of this Court in the cases of 'Om Roj vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others', CWP No. 2667 of 2022 decided on 28.04.2022 (Annexure P5) and 'Mohd. Rafeeq Ahmed vs. State of Haryana and others', CWP No. 15415 of 2009 decided on 30.11.2009 (Annexure P6). He further submits that the petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P4) in the light of the aforementioned judgments passed by this Court in Om Roj's case (supra) and Mohd. Rafeeq Ahmed's case (supra).

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P4) in the light of the aforementioned judgments and other relevant material and pass a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.07.2022
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No