

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-16235-2019

Date of Decision: November 18, 2022

RANJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition under Section 482 Cr. P.C. has been filed seeking direction to the respondents to consider the representation/complaint dated 25.02.2019 (Annexure P-2) given to the SSP, Tarn Taran to take appropriate action on the same.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 09.04.2019. Pursuant to which status report dated 11.05.2019 had been filed. Learned State counsel makes a reference to para Nos. 5 and 6 of the same, which reads thus:-

“5. That the above said FIR is still at the stage of investigation and after completion of investigation pertaining to above said FIR bearing No.98 dated 10.08.2018, then final report under section 173 Cr.P.C will be submitted in the court of learned Area Magistrate, Tarn Taran at the earliest.

6. That further during the course of investigation petitioner Ranjit Singh has moved one complaint bearing No.111 dated 21.02.2019 against the respondent No.4 with allegation that he fired shorts on him with his DBBL gun with intention to kill him (petitioner). In the pursuance of complaint moved by the petitioner, the police party went at the spot to verify the occurrence vide DDR No.30 dated 21.02.2019 and after verifying the fact the SHO police Station Jhabal finally came to the

conclusion that no such occurrence as alleged by the petitioner was ever occurred and the petitioner moved the above said false complaint in order to counter blast the above said FIR got lodged by the respondent No.4 and in this regard DDR No.32 dated 21.2.2019 has been incorporated in the DDR register i.e. register No.2. It is pertinent to mention here that secret verification pertaining to complaint dated 21.02.2019 moved by the petitioner is still going on and in case any evidence against the respondent No.4 will come on the record then suitable action will be taken against the guilty person as per the facts and circumstances. It is pertinent to mention here that no DDR No.111 dated 21.02.2019 was ever recorded at Police Station Jhabal as alleged by the petitioner in the present petition”

In view of the status report, learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Harbans submits that this case has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Accordingly, no further order is required to be passed in the matter and the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 18, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No