

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 264

CRM-M-18435-2022

Date of decision : 30.05.2022

Gurpreet

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Vikas Lochab, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Jatinder Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.98 dated 17.06.2021 registered under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Women Police Station, District Sonipat on the basis of a compromise dated 11.04.2022 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

On 02.05.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court for recording of their respective statements with regard to the compromise, who in turn was directed to submit a report along with the recorded statements with regard to the veracity of the compromise between the parties as also to apprise this Court whether all the accused are party to the compromise; whether any of them have ever been declared proclaimed offender(s) and if is there any other criminal case pending against them.

As directed, report dated 07.05.2022 from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat has been received, as per which the parties had recorded their statements before the Trial Court in terms of the compromise arrived at

between them; the same is genuine; only the petitioner is arrayed as an accused; he has not been a proclaimed offender and that no other criminal case is pending against him.

Learned State counsel has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance of the afore-referred FIR which arises from a matrimonial dispute as also the matter having been compromised, would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by a Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this Court deems it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash FIR No.98 dated 17.06.2021 registered under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Women Police Station, District Sonipat and all proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

30.05.2022  
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]  
JUDGE

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |