

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRWP- 4043 of 2022
Date of Decision:02.05.2022

Rajita Kumari and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.R.S.Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. A.K.Khurana, DAG, Punjab

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Rajita and Satpal Singh have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 8.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 28 years and 30 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners are residing in a live-in relationship at village Kanianwali District Faridkot. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Ms. A.K.Khurana, DAG Punjab, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 23.04.2022 (Annexure P-3) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No. 2 -Senior Superintendent of Police Faridkot, District Faridkot, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police Faridkot, District Faridkot, for requisite

compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

02.05.2022

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No