

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.101

**CRM-M No.18125 of 2022
Date of Decision: 01.09.2022**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that it has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has already been arrested in the present case on 09.08.2022.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

01.09.2022
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether Reportable *No*