

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9166-2022
Date of decision: 12.10.2022

VIKRAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner for appointment to the post of Constable (General Duty), as he secured 64.10 marks (but wrongly awarded only 63.10 marks) and as per the knowledge of the petitioner, the last cut off under general category is 63.60. In this regard, a representation dated 09.11.2020 (Annexure P-7) has been given by the petitioner to the official respondents, but till date no decision has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 09.11.2020 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

12.10.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No