

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-18656-2022**

**Date of Decision:-26.05.2022**

**Parvinder Singh @ Parminder Singh @ Parry.**

.....Petitioner.

Versus

**State of Punjab.**

.....Respondent.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sindhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

The Prayer in this petition is for the grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.PC in case FIR No.79 dated 05.05.2021 under Sections 307 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and Offences under Section 386, 506, 120-B IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

The brief facts of the case are that the Statement of Amar Singh @ Golu was recorded to the effect that he received a call on 07.04.2021 from someone who called himself as Fateh Nagri and demanded a sum of Rs.4 lacs. The complainant refused to make that payment on which threats were given to him. On 08.04.2021 yet another call was made to him with the same threats. Thereafter on 04.05.2021 while he was walking on the roof of his house two unknown persons with muffled faces came on a motor

cycle and with an intention to kill him pointed a pistol towards him and fired two shots but he was able to save himself. He further states that he was confident that Yuvraj Fateh Singh resident of Nagri due to his refusal had sent two persons to kill him.

The Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has been named on the disclosure statement of Yuvraj Fateh Singh and thereafter a supplementary statement of the complainant was recorded wherein the petitioner has been named on suspicion. He further contends that the petitioner is in custody since 07.10.2021. The investigation stands completed and none of the prosecution witnesses cited in the list of witnesses has been examined till date and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of regular bail.

The Counsel for the State on the other hand contends that it is a very serious offence for which the petitioner has been charged. There are as many as three other FIRs of similar nature pending against the petitioner and, therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

I have heard counsel for both the sides at length.

Admittedly, the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been named on the basis of suspicion alone. No person has received any injuries in the occurrence. In two FIRs registered against the petitioner under Section 307 IPC etc., he has been granted the concession of regular bail whereas in the third FIR the offence under Section 307 IPC has been deleted and the matter has been compromised and, therefore, no challan has been filed in the said case.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner i.e. 07 months and 16 days, the further

incarceration of the petitioner is not required more so when the charge has not been framed and none of the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Parminder Singh @ Parvinder Singh @ Perry** son of Sh. Kuldeep Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing that he is not involved in any other crime other than the ones mentioned in this petition.

If the petitioner indulges in similar offence for which he is currently charged, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

May 26, 2022

Vinay

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |