

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 18271 of 2022  
Reserved on 28.07.2022  
Pronounced on: 16.09.2022

Sunny Kumar .....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station                    | Sections                                                             |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 184     | 22.11.2019 | City Nawanshahar Distt. SBS Nagar | 406, 420 IPC and section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Act, 2014 |

The petitioner, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.
3. After that, the petitioner came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.
4. On 31.5.22, the aggrieved person Mang Gurparshad (R-2) appeared before the JMIC, Shaheed Bhagat Nagar and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report dated 14.6.22, the parties consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

5. However, the petitioners did not arraign the aggrieved Rajwinder as a respondent in this case.
6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.
7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the complainant Mang Gurparshad and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements alongwith report would suffice.

**Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.**

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

16.09.2022  
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: **No.**