

[137] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.868 of 2022

Date of Decision : 31.05.2022

Retd. Sub Inspector Subhash Chander  
No.22/H S/o Shri Jage Ram and another

...Petitioners

versus

Rajeev Arora, Additional Secretary to Govt.  
Haryana, Home Department, Civil Secretariat,  
Chandigarh.

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jitender Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 27.08.2021, in CWP No.15278 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.15278 of 2021, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide representation dated 02.06.2021, filed by the petitioners and take a conscious decision thereon by passing a speaking order, within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioners were found entitled to any pecuniary benefits upon such a conscious decision, then the said pecuniary benefits were to be released to the petitioners within 03 months thereafter.

[3] Learned Addl. AG, Haryana, has filed reply along with copy

of order (Annexure R-1) dated 24.05.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal of the same states that in view of part of the claim pertaining to grant of 04 annual increments having been accepted, while claim for salary for the period (22.09.2011 to 28.05.2012) having been declined, the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 24.05.2022 to the extent the same denies claim for salary for the period (22.09.2011 to 28.05.2012) by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

31.05.2022

*'Rajneesh'*

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |