

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9788 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision.30.09.2022

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Mohinder Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking quashing of the finding report dated 24.03.2021 (Annexure P-12). During the pendency of instant writ petition, a regular departmental enquiry had already been held and the petitioner has been punished with stoppage of five future annual increments with permanent effect vide order dated 23.02.2022.

The limited prayer of the petitioner herein is that he may be permitted to represent to the department for reinstatement in service, as he is under suspension since 04.09.2020 i.e. for more than two years and is only being paid subsistence allowance, equivalent to 50%, which has not been enhanced during the pendency of the proceedings.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of the fact that the finding report became a subject matter of regular departmental enquiry culminating in punishment having been awarded to the petitioner, this writ petition is no longer sustainable. Consequently, the instant petition stands disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioner represents for reinstatement in service, considering the fact that he is under suspension, the same will be considered by the respondents in accordance with law within a period of two months on receipt of the said representation along with certified copy of this order.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

September 30, 2022

Pankaj*	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No