

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18609-2022
Date of decision : 05.05.2022**

Purshotam Chand	Vs.	...Petitioner
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 16.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) in case FIR No.0077 dated 25.05.2018 registered under Section 21 Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Bara Gudha, District Sirsa.

Heard.

Concededly, the petitioner is neither complainant nor witness in the above FIR, therefore, being stranger can not maintain this petition. Apart from it, it is also not disputed by learned counsel that the concession of bail extended to the accused-respondent No.2 has not been misused.

Resultantly, no ground is made out for exercise of jurisdiction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. for cancellation of bail.

Petition is dismissed.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

05.05.2022	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
vanita	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No