

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8953-2022

Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

Gurjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is for the release of the stage carriage permit by the respondent, as allowed in his favour, keeping in view the order dated 27.12.2021 (Annexure P-1), and also to provide him the access code/password for depositing the permit fee, which is not being allowed in his favour, and in case the petitioner is not enabled to the said access within the given time-frame, the permit allotted to him will expire. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the online permit fee of the petitioner is not being accepted by the respondent, by not providing the access code/password to him, therefore, the petitioner will lose the permit itself as deposit of the fees is the condition precedent for grant of permit to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the same grievance before the respondent by filing legal notice dated 22.03.2022 (Annexure P-2), which is still pending

consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondent to decide the said legal notice, dated 22.03.2022, by passing an appropriate speaking order, so that no prejudice is caused to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that an appropriate order on legal notice dated 22.03.2022 (Annexure P-2), filed by the petitioner will be passed by the authorities concerned within a period of ten days from today, in case not already passed, and further in case, it is found that the petitioner is not at fault in depositing the permit fee within the time granted, the appropriate order in this regard will also be passed, so that no prejudice is caused to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondent, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed as of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

29.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No