

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9491 of 2022

Date of Decision : 06.05.2022

Raj Singh Hooda

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present petition, the petitioner had approached this Court by filing CWP No.16956 of 2019, which petition was withdrawn by the petitioner to avail the remedy on revision as envisaged under Rule 14(A) of the Haryana State Electricity Board Employees (Punishment & Appeal), Regulations 1990 and the said revision was preferred before the authority concerned vide Annexure P-22 and despite the fact that more than 02 years have elapsed, the said revision petition has not been decided by the respondents and that too without any valid jurisdiction. Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer for deciding the revision petition (Annexure P-22) by the respondents in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case the revision petition has already not been decided, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks from today by the respondent-authority and in case after deciding of the revision petition, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same be released to the petitioner within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

Keeping in view the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

May 06, 2022
rittu

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No