

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP No. 10409 of 2022
Date of Decision : 16.05.2022

Liyakat Ali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on his oral request, Rawli, Primary Agriculture Co-operative Society, Village Rawli, Tehsil F.P. Jhirka, District Nuh, Haryana be impleaded as respondent No. 7.

Ordered accordingly.

Let the Registry carry out the necessary amendment in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, respondent No. 6 has wrongly been included as a Member of respondent No. 7-Cooperative Society despite the fact that respondent No. 6 actually belongs to Rajasthan and cannot be made member of any Co-operative Society situated and operating within the State of Haryana. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said grievance has already been raised by the petitioner before the Deputy Registrar

Cooperative Societies, Gurugram by filing letter/representation dated

10.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No. 3 to decide the said letter/representation in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the letter/representation dated 10.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the letter/representation dated 10.12.2020 (Annexure P-2), by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

While passing the order, respondent No. 3 is directed that it should be ensured that respondent No. 6 is heard before passing any order on the letter/representation dated 10.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) so as to record his view point as well.

May 16, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No