

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-9894-2022

DATE OF DECISION: 11.05.2022

SATPAL

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Anuj Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought a direction to quash the entire selection process for the post of Shift Attendant (Advertisement No.3/2016 Category No.1) for which final result (Annexure P-7) was announced on 08.03.2019 being in violation of law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was earlier not considered eligible on the ground that he had done trade test in mechanics although requirement was of trade test in electronics and persons, who were similarly situated as the petitioner, had been selected. Later, similarly situated candidates had approached this Court and the coordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 05.09.2017 passed in CWP No.20025 of 2017 (Annexure P-5) had directed that the petitioner would also be provisionally interviewed. Notice was issued for interview of those candidates and thereafter the result was declared. No opportunity was given to the petitioner to participate in the interview although he was similarly situated as the other candidates who had been granted relief by the Court.

Heard.

The petitioner is challenging the selection process which was initiated in the year 2017 and was concluded on 08.03.2019. The candidates,

who had approached this Court in the year 2017, had been granted relief by the Court and had also been provisionally interviewed. The petitioner has now approached this Court after 3 years of the completion of the selection process. He had also filed a petition in the year 2019 bearing CWP No.35200 of 2019 which was withdrawn by the counsel after having argued the case for some time. The prayer in that petition was also for direction to the respondents to interview him for the post of Shift Attendant.

In view of the above especially when the selection process has been completed 3 years ago and the petition seeking similar relief had been dismissed in the year 2019, this petition is wholly misconceived and deserves to be dismissed outrightly.

Ordered accordingly.

11.05.2022

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No