

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-3980-2022

Date of Decision:02.05.2022

Baljinder Kaur @ Manjit Kaur @ Meeta

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vidit Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 23.04.2022 (Annexure P-2) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.3-Superintendent, Central Jail, Gurdaspur, is directed to decide the representation (P-2) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***five working days*** from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No