

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 17839 of 2022
Date of decision: 29.04.2022**

Ranjit Singh @ Rana @ Malli Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Malkiat Hundal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 121 dated 04.10.2020, under Sections 326, 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short), registered at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant has been summoned on a criminal complaint preferred by the petitioner and, therefore, the petitioner should be granted anticipatory bail. He also relies upon the photographs which indicate that the complainant party was also present at the scene of the incident.

Heard.

This is the third petition for anticipatory bail. The previous two petitions had been dismissed. It is alleged that the petitioner had caused a 'datar' blow on the right arm of the complainant for which offence punishable under Section 326 of the IPC was added after obtaining medical opinion.

The complainant is stated to be 80 year old. After dismissal of the first petition bearing CRM-M No. 41928 of 2020, the petitioner had preferred an SLP bearing SLP (Crl.) No. 2344 of 2021, which was also dismissed by order dated 03.03.2021. Therefore, I do not find any ground to take another view in the matter.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2022
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No