

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-18153-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

Harmanpreet Singh @ Harry

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. L.S.Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by SI Pawan Kumar.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.211 dated 09.09.2019 at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib, under Sections 22 of the NDPS Act, wherein the allegations are broadly to the effect that the petitioner was found in possession of 45 intoxicant injections of Leegesic (Buprenorphine IP) 2 ml each and 45 bottles of Avil 10 ml each.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has been behind bars for a substantial period of more than 3 years & 2 months that since the trial is proceeding at snail's pace, the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

3. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since it is a case wherein the petitioner was caught red-handed while in possession of a 'commercial' quantity of contraband, no case for grant of bail is made out. The learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 3 years, 2 months & 7 days.
4. This Court has considered rival submissions.
5. Since the petitioner seeks grant of bail mainly on account of long custody, it is apposite to refer to a few judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in this regard wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court has granted the concession of bail solely on ground of long custody:

Case No.	Date of Decision	Title of case	Period which the accused had undergone when granted bail by Hon'ble Supreme Court
Criminal Appeal No.245/2020	07.02.2020	Chitta Biswas @ Subhas Vs. The State of West Bengal	1 year & 7 months
Criminal Appeal No.668/2020	12.10.2020	Amit Singh Moni Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh	2 years & 7 months
Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No.5769/2022	01.08.2022	Nitish Adhikary @ Bapan Vs. The State of West Bengal	1 year & 7 months
Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No.4173/2022	04.08.2022	Shariful Islam @ Sarif Vs. The State of West Bengal	1 year & 6 months
Criminal Appeal No.1169/2022	05.08.2022	Gopal Krishna Patra @ Gopalrusma Vs. Union of India	2 years, 1 month & 17 days
Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No.5530/2022	22.08.2022	Mohammad Salman Hanif Shaikh Vs. The State of Gujarat	About 2 years

6. In the present case, the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 3 years, 2 months & 7 days. Conclusion of trial is likely to consume time. Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the long custody of the petitioner, the petition merits acceptance and is hereby accepted.
7. The petition, as such, is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. It is, however, directed that in case the petitioner is found to be indulging in similar offence again, the prosecution would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail before this Court.

17.11.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**