

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.127

CRM-M-18660-2022

Date of decision: 04.05.2022

Shabana Khatoon and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.0259 dated 12.11.2021 registered under Section 67-A of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 and Sections 34, 506, 509 IPC at Women Police Station Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since investigation in the case is still going on, at this stage, he would not press the present petition on merits and would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the investigating officer to consider issues raised by the petitioners in the instant petition before filing of the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, submits that he has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is accepted.

Finding the above prayer made on behalf of the petitioner to be

reasonable the same is accepted. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the investigating officer to consider the issues raised by the petitioners in the instant petition before filing a report in the FIR in question under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

May 04, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No