

[128] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.852 of 2022

Date of Decision : 17.05.2022

Dharambir Singh S/o Sh. Genda Ram ...Petitioner

versus

Ms. Isha Kamboj, Secretary, Haryana Staff
Selection Commission, Sector 2, PanchkulaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Shailender Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 18.02.2022, in CWP No.2582 of 2022 in case titled as '**Dharambir Singh** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.2582 of 2022, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide representation dated 24.10.2021 filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by taking into account all aspects of the matter within 04 weeks and to furnish a copy of the decision to the petitioner within 01 week thereafter.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case.

[4] However, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 12.04.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for

the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition but liberty be granted to challenge order dated 12.04.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.05.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No