

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-17587 of 2022 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 26th May, 2022

Harpreet Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate for complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This petition is filed for grant of anticipatory bail in case of FIR No.131 dated 12.11.2020, under Sections 195, 420, 467, 468, 471, 447, 448, 427, 188 and 120-B IPC 1860, registered at Police Station City Zira,

On 28th April, 2022, following order was passed:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a dispute with regard to partnership firm and the allegations are that proceedings under Section 145 Cr.P.C. were dis-obeyed, the petitioner got registered FIR as pressure tactic for which cancellation report was prepared but not accepted by the court and that on the land on which the firm was running, two more firms were got registered on the same land. The contention is that no recovery is to be made and the case is based upon documentary evidence.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 26.5.2022.

Meantime, arrest of the petitioner is stayed.

Let the petitioner join the investigation within a week."

Learned State counsel has filed reply and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to counsel for petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Anwar Masih submits that during the pendency of petition, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custody is not required at this stage.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate appearing for complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Petitioner is granted pre-arrest bail, subject to joining investigation as and when called for. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. He shall be bound by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Needless to say that in case of change in circumstance, the State would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

26th May, 2022

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>