

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4405-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2022**

Sunil Kumar @ Sonu

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Akshay Panghal, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking direction to the official respondents to provide security and protection to the petitioner and his family members.

In pursuance of notice of motion issued to the State, the State has filed an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ, Fatehahad on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana while referring to the affidavit has submitted that the present petitioner has now asserted that he was under an impression that respondent No.4 would implicate the petitioner in false cases and the petitioner got recorded in his statement that he does not want any police

protection. He further submitted that as per para 7 of the affidavit it has been stated that in case there is any receipt of any complaint or representation on behest of the petitioner regarding threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner, then due and effective action will be taken in accordance with law and the police authorities are duty bound to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner. As per para 8 of the affidavit it has been submitted that it is evident from the statement made by the petitioner himself that there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Panghal, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.4. He on instructions has stated that respondent No.4 has not posed any threat to the petitioner and he will not pose any threat in future also.

In view of the aforesaid position, no further orders are required to be passed in the present case.

Therefore, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner has any threat in future, then he shall be at liberty to make an appropriate application to the concerned SHO and the receipt of the same, the SHO shall process the applicant in accordance with law.

25.03.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No