

115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4006-2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

SHAKUNAT AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 was earlier married when she was minor but thereafter her husband had given divorce to her and so far as the petitioner No.2 is concerned, he was not married earlier. He further submitted that now the petitioners have married with each other and they blessed with one child also. He further submitted that the petitioners have married against the wishes of their family members and apprehending threat to their life and liberty, they have filed the present petition for protection.

Petitioners claim to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-3) dated 25.04.2022, in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, Mewat, Haryana.

Heard. Record perused.

Notice of motion to the office of Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State. Copy supplied.

The petitioners have placed on record the copy of Aadhar Cards (Annexure P-1 and P-2) as proof of their age.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- SHO, Police Station Punhana, District Mewat, Haryana that representation, if any, filed seeking protection of life and liberty, be looked into and contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken, strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is made clear that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court regarding marriage of the parties.

29.04.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No