

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

148

CWP-9208 of 2022

Date of Order: 02.05.2022

Vijay Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Geeta Rani, Advocate, for
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner complains that on acquisition of the land, he has not been paid proper compensation for the constructed house.

The competent authority has passed an award assessing the market value of the acquired immovable property. If any owner or interested person is not satisfied with the award passed by the competent authority, he has a remedy under Section 3(G)(5) of the National Highway Act, 1956, which reads as under:-

“3G.Determination of amount payable as compensation.-

(5) If the amount determined by the competent authority under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) is not acceptable to either of the parties, the amount shall, on an application by either of the parties, be determined by the arbitrator to be appointed by the Central Government.”
”

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner, if so advised, may apply to the Central Government for appointment of an Arbitrator.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 02, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No