

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17568 of 2022 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 24th May, 2022**

Jaswinder Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Advocate for complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.78 dated 16.2.2022, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 342, 379-B/506 IPC later on added Sections 429, 201 IPC, 25/29 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

As per the case set up, a news report was published that Narinder resident of Jammu Colony had lost his life due to biting of pet dog (*Pit Bull breed*) and later on the dog was shot dead. The complainant being a news reporter along with Avnish Kumar reached the colony to cover the incident. The complainant and his accomplice abused and given beatings by Jaswinder Singh (petitioner) and other co-accused. As per the allegations the accused tried to strangle the complainant party. A licensed revolver along with cartridge were got recovered at the instance of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injuries sustained by the complainant party are simple in nature. No case is made out under Section 307 IPC. There was no allegation of use of firm arm. The recovery at the instance of the petitioner was of a licensed revolver. He further submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Advocate appears for complainant though not impleaded as party and submits that the complainant has no objection if the bail is granted to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer and submits that the petitioner actively participated in the incident and had inflicted injuries.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or on the factum of compromise, considering that the petitioner is in custody since 17.2.2022, investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time and the nature of injuries suffered by the complainant, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

24th May, 2022

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>