

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(136)

CWP No. 9083 of 2022

Date of Decision : 10.05.2022

Vasanthi

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is the legally wedded wife of late Shekhar, who was working with the respondents and unfortunately died while in service, on 11.10.2007. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the death of late husband of the petitioner, petitioner was entitled for the grant of family pension but the same has not been extended to her despite the expiry of more than 14 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 16.08.2021 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 16.08.2021 (Annexure P-6), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 10, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	✓ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	✓ Yes/No