

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8847-2022(O&M)  
Date of Decision:28.04.2022**

NAVEEN ALLAWADI AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present : Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG,, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**LISA GILL, J.(Oral)**

Petitioners have filed this writ petition with a prayer for issuance of direction to the respondent to grant benefits of notional pay fixation and notional seniority by fixing their pay at par with the candidates who had joined pursuant to issuance of the first list and to pay any consequential arrears besides release benefit of Leave Travel Concession.

It is submitted that petitioners, pursuant to notification dated 28.06.2015, being fully eligible applied for the post of PGT in various subjects. Result was declared on 26.11.2018 and petitioners were got selected. It is further submitted that appointments were made vide three separate lists dated 17.12.2018, 15.01.2019 and 01.02.2019. However, candidates who are lower in merit to the petitioners as per the said lists were issued appointment letters prior to the petitioners. Petitioners claim benefits as above in terms of the judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Balwant Singh Narwal and others vs. State of Haryana and others, (2008)***

**7 SCC 728.** Reliance is also placed in terms of judgment passed by this

Court in case *Virender Kumar and Others versus State of Haryana and Another*, CWP-21893-2020, decided on 18.02.2021. Learned counsel further submits that in this respect representation dated 15.02.2022 (Annexure P-6) was also filed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners restricts the prayer for a decision on the petitioners' representation dated 15.02.2022, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

Advance copy of the writ petition stands served. Learned counsel for the State does not raise any serious objection to the restricted prayer addressed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, but without any expression of opinion on the merits of the matter, competent authority is directed to decide petitioners' representation dated 15.02.2022, within three months from receipt of certified copy of this order. In case of an order adverse to the petitioners, same be by way of a speaking order.

Writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

**( LISA GILL )**  
**JUDGE**

**28.04.2022**

*riya*

Whether reasoned/speaking  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No