

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-17784-2022

Date of Decision: 16.11.2022

Umesh @ Pistol

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.360 dated 28.10.2020 at Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar, under Sections 148/149/323/307/506/120-B IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Devender Singh, wherein it has been alleged that on 27.10.2020, when he alongwith Sumit and Ashish was present at Safipur Stadium, Dinesh fired a shot from his pistol, which hit Ashish in his abdomen and Manish @ Pusiya also fired a shot from his pistol, which hit Sumit in his abdomen, with an intention to kill them. When the complainant tried to intervene, then the said two as well as other boys accompanying them, namely, Umesh @ Pistol (petitioner), Sandeep as well and 3/4 others threatened to kill him as well and gave fist and kick blows to Ashish and Sumit.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although the petitioner is named in the FIR, but even if the contents of the FIR are taken to be correct, the petitioner admittedly was not carrying any fire arm or any other weapon and it is the co-accused Manish and Dinesh, who were carrying pistols and who had fired at two injured Ashish and Sumit hitting them in their abdomen. Learned counsel has further submitted that it is subsequently that the police has recorded the statements of the two injured under Section 161 Cr.P.C, wherein the petitioner has been attributed a fist blow.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and was accompanying the other two accused, who were carrying fire arms and had also inflicted injuries to the two injured, his complicity is clearly evident. Learned State counsel has also submitted that the petitioner stands involved in 3 more cases and apparently he is a seasoned criminal. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioner as on date has been behind bars since the last about 1 year & 8 months. It has also been informed that out of cited 34 PWs, none has been examined till date.
5. This Court has considered rival submissions.
6. The petitioner admittedly was unarmed and is attributed only fist blow, whereas it is the other two co-accused, namely, Manish and Dinesh who were armed with pistols and are attributed injuries to the injured with firm arm. In any case, the petitioner has been behind bars for the last about 1 year & 8 months. Conclusion of trial will take time inasmuch as none out of cited 34 PWs has been examined so far. In these

circumstances, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

16.11.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**